

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,926 12/28/2001		28/2001	Mitchell W. Mutz	7610-0022.22	5529
23980	7590	05/20/2004		EXAMINER	
REED & E			GITOMER,	GITOMER, RALPH J	
800 MENLO MENLO PA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1651	
				DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
·. (10/040,926	MUTZ ET AL.					
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T				
		Ralph Gitomer	1651					
	The MAILING DATE of this communi	cation appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	iddress				
Period for			AMONTH(S) EDOM					
THE M Extensi after SI If the po - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions of X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commercial for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, nunication.) days, a reply within the statutory minimum tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6 will, by statute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tim) MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>30 September 2002</u> .						
2a)□ T	his action is FINAL .	b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
.—								
С	losed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4; 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 67-88</u> is/are pendical Of the above claim(s) is/are claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 67-88</u> is/are rejected is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are object to restrice	e withdrawn from consideratior ed.						
Applicatio	n Papers							
9)[] T	he specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) <u></u> ⊤	he drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objecte	d to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	ne oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action of form 1	10-132.				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
a)[B. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received documents have been received of the priority documents have l nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	I. I in Application No been received in this Nationa	al Stage				
Attachment(s	s) of References Cited (PTO-892)		view Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice 3) Information	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (P rr:	TO-152)				

Art Unit: 1651

This application is a CIP of 09/751,666. Please inform the examiner as to how this application differs from the parent application to determine the proper priority date. Claims 1-15, 67-88 are currently pending in this application. There appear to be a number of related cases, allowed, abandoned or pending. Please provide a listing and to what the claims of each are directed to. Upon resolution of the following issues, additional searching and/or consideration may be required, including a number of the patents cited herein with claims directed to ejecting biomolecules, with a different inventive entity than the present application and a 102(e) date of September 25, 2000, prior to the earliest possible priority date of this application.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 1-7, 71-73 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 10, 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,642,061. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of '061 include an aperture and a biomolecule whereas the present claims include different acoustic impedances.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Ellson.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned

Art Unit: 1651

by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

'061 claims a biomolecule which encompasses a cell and '061 include an aperture which is not excluded from the present claims.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-15, 67-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

A reading of the specification which includes significant background references reveals the present invention is useful to manipulate individual living cells by ejecting a single cell from a fluid onto a substrate surface without killing the cell. This is performed with focused acoustic radiation. These features have not been claimed.

Art Unit: 1651

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15, 67-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each of the following applies in every occurrence.

In claim 1(a) "localized volumes" is not a term of art and is not understood in context. In claim 14 "the data" lacks antecedent basis. In claim 71 "circumscribed" lacks antecedent basis. In claim 79 "the determination" lacks definite antecedent basis. In claim 88 "the geometric center" lacks antecedent basis.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lovelady (4,308,547) teaches a drop emitter.

Stearns (6,416,164) teaches acoustic ejection.

Ellson (6,467,877, 6,548,308, 6,603,118, 6,666,541) teach focused acoustic energy.

Lee (6,610,223) teaches focused acoustic energy.

Mutz (6,612,686) teaches focused acoustic energy.

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

> RALPH GITOMER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

Maltono